

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,183	02/26/2004	Peter J. Coassin	AURO1420-1	6955
7590 11/25/2005			EXAMINER	
LISA A HAILE, PH.D.			LUDLOW, JAN M	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1100			1743	
SANDIEGO, CA 92121-2133			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
10/789,183	COASSIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jan M. Ludlow	1743	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔯 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-71. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: . Jan M. Ludlow

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation to the configuration of the dispensing tips is a new issue. Note also that deletion of language regarding pressurization is a new issue with respect to the current rejection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that it would be unlikely to make the large number of dispensers of Downs into a removeable module, but the pumps 37 and containers are shown in a structured stacked array (three separate ones are shown) and it is reasonable that such arrays are supported in some way, and that the support is, e.g., bolted to the apparatus frame. Further, tube array 23 is also fitted onto the tube moving device. Together, the pump arrays and tube array constitute the instant module. Applicant has not contested the examiner's position that it is reasonable to make each part removebale for cleaning or replacement, and the arrays are parts or components. The examiner further notes that most typical attachment means, such as screws, bolts, snap fits, etc are removable. Even spot welded components can be removed using, e.g., a metal saw. With respect to claim 7, claim 7 was omitted from the rejection of claims 1-18 by typographical error. In that a) claim 7 was rejected in the first office action over the references currently used to reject all the claims, b) the limitations of claim 7 are taught in the teaching references, c) claim 7 is listed as rejected on form 326 of the final rejection and d) claim 7 was not indicated allowable, it is the examiner's position that it is reasonably clear from the record as a whole that claim 7 was omitted from the rejection under 35 USC 103 by typographical error.